

ADDED IDENTIFIC	ATION
ORDER IDENTIFICA	ATION
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #: XXXX
	DATE ORDERED:
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:
CITY / STATE: MI	IN SERVICE:
TELE #: XXXX	AMI#: XXXX
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	PROBLEM:
This customer was scheduled to cut today at 10am. Due to An have pulled all their technicians to work on heir stuff.	
On 1/6/97 At 10:15am Ameritech notified us that there was no at Ameritech checked with his boss but called back to say the a trouble problems it needs to be rescheduled.	
}	
1	
1	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	xxxxx



Record # 10

BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS

ORDER IDENTIFICA	ATION
CUSTOMER (last, first):XXXX	ORDER #: XXXX
(,,	DATE ORDERED:
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE: 1/7/97
CITY / STATE: MI	IN SERVICE: 1/8/97
TELE #:XXXX	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	AMI#: XXXX
This customer was to have (9) new loops installed on due date "trouble". Ameritech had too many repairs so they pulled their	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	lxxxx



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT			
ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first):XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX	
,	DATE ORDERED:	11/4/96	
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	12/19/96	
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS M	IN SERVICE:	12/19/96	
TELE #: XXXX		XXXX	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION	<u>-</u>		
On 12/18, our tech went to the customer site to test the lines the equipment room but instead left them outside the buildin have our tech go out and evaluate the site to see if it would be equipment room without too much trouble. They found con the NIJ. Fortunately, our tech had enough cable pairs to do scheduled cutover for the next day was not affected. This coustomer currently has over 200 lines with brooks.	ig. Because construction was co be possible for them to get the lind duit from the closet to the outsid the required work immediately s	mplete, we had to nes into the e about 4-ft from o that the	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	lxxxxx		



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first):	XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX
COSTOMEN (last, mst).		DATE ORDERED:	1/2/97
STREET ADDRESS:	Ixxxx	DUE DATE:	1/10/97
	GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	1/21/97
TELE #	L		
ILLE #	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	AMI#:	XXXX
A Brooks tech went out to do in Ameritech. They reconnected of			

REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ORDER IDE	NTIFIC <i>A</i>	ATION	
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX		ORDER #:	XXXX
		DATE ORDERED:	1/14/97
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX		DUE DATE:	1/21/97
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS	MI	IN SERVICE:	1/23/97
TELE #:XXXX		AMI #:	XXXX
BRIEF DESCRIPT	ION OF	PROBLEM:	

Customer called in expecting lines to be installed on 1/17/97. Because of the urgent nature, we expedited it for the 21st. Ameritech sent back a confirmed due date of the 21st. We notified the customer and told her that by the end of the day on the 21st, her lines would be up. The day of the 21st Ameritech called back to say they didn't have enough facilities. We began an intense search for answers as to why Ameritech would confirm a due date and then say there weren't facilities. No one at Ameritech could seem to give us answers and constantly left us waiting. This was escalated up to Brooks Operations on the 21st. The customer during this time is calling in wondering what day her lines will be up, threatening with a law suit, but we can't give her an answer because Ameritech won't tell us much of anything. On the 22nd, customer leaves a message for Brooks Sales and says we broke our contract and that she is going with Ameritech. Brooks Sales, Operations and Support Services call her back and during the conversation find out that when the customer called Ameritech, Ameritech gave her numbers and within 45 minutes said they could get her service by noon on the 23rd.(the next day). Operations contacted Ameritech and said that if they can have it by noon for the customer, then they can have it by noon for us as well. Customer ended up wanting to stay with us if we would have service by noon. Operations did follow up on the 23rd and pushed Ameritech at 11:40am to get out and get the lines up. They were installed by 1pm and the final lines out of the group of 16 were up by 5pm.



ORDER IDENTIFICA	ATION	
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	xxxx
COSTOMER (last, liist).	DATE ORDERED:	
CTDEET ADDRESS VYYY	<u>L_</u>	1/22/07
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	1/22/97
CITY / STATE: WYOMING MI	IN SERVICE:	1/22/97
TELE #: XXXX	AMI #: [XXXX
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	PROBLEM:	
New install. Customer has no dial tone.		
Found apartment inside wire [I/W] pulled off old loop. Old lo	op has dial tone.	:
Tone out and reterminate I/W to d-mark. Line OK now.		
The second resembles 2 to a matrix. Date of thom.		!
<u>.</u>		
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	xxxxx	



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ORDER IDENTIFICA	TION	
CUSTOMED (last first) VVVV	ORDER #:	VVVV
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	<u></u>	XXXX
	DATE ORDERED:	2/12/97
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	3/4/97
CITY / STATE: GAINES TWP MI	IN SERVICE:	3/10/97
TELE #:XXXX	AMI #:	XXXX
PRIEF DESCRIPTION OF		70000
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF This is a trouble ticket for Brooks Order #970212118.	MODERNI.	
We went to customer premises and reported that AMI did not have connected correctly and line tested OK.	ave customer hooked up at d-m	nark.

REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX

XXXXX



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ADDES DENTIFICATION			
ORDER IDENTIFIC	CATION		
CUSTOMER (last, first):XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX	
	DATE ORDERED:	11/21/96	
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	12/20/96	
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	12/20/96	
TELE #: XXXX		VVVV	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION C	AMI#:	XXXX	
Trying to cut-over the customer. Vendor called and said they getting our recording. We got Ameritech on the phone and be Provisioning, Sales Support, Pre-Engineering, and Dispatch of where the problem was. After a lot of research, Ameritech found they had the wrong of the same and the same	etween AMI and Brooks' Translate departments we spent hours trying	tions,	

REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX

XXXXX



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ORDER IDENT	IFICATION	
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX
	DATE ORDERED:	1/2/97
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	1/20/97
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS	MI IN SERVICE:	1/20/97
TELE #: XXXX	AMI #:	XXXX
BRIEF DESCRIPTIO		Addix
Nick with Ameritech called on 1/10/97 with a problem of out of the East Wire Center. I corrected this and gave the same day. The very same day in the afternoon I got a call on my voi East Wire Center but it should be in the Empire Wire Center wire center in the beginning to avoid these delays	Ta busy CFA on this account which manew CFA. I sent it back to Armore mail that the address of this accounter. The address should have bee	neritech on that
correct wire center in the beginning to avoid these delays	in the customer cut-over.	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	xxxxx	



ORDER IDENTIFICA	ATION	
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #: XXXX	x]
	DATE ORDERED: 12/12/9	6
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE: 1/3/9	7
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE: 1/9/9	7
TELE #:XXXX	AMI #: XXX	X
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF		
Original order went through as East Wire Center. Daniah from be out of Empire. Order was then reprovisioned out of Empire		d
Cut never went. Called Ameritech to find out why. Ameritech should be the East Wire Center, which the original order was. Expedited order to Ameritech for 1/8/97 and also gave verbal (Order was reprovisioned back to East.	



CUSTOMER (last, first): STREET ADDRESS: XXXX CITY / STATE: MI TELE #: XXXX BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to (Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closi On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with John in the anticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to etch said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have time wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been in 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and so corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio Spm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T know of the changes. John did not relay the changes to the rover doin		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX CITY / STATE: MI TELE #: XXXX BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to (Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closi On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with him. When started to argue with him. I had the same problem with John in the a.n ticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to extech said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have time wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been to 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and so corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio 5pm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX CITY / STATE: MI TELE #: XXXX BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to (Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closi On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with him. When started to argue with him. I had the same problem with John in the a.n ticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to extech said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have time wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been to 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and so corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio 5pm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T	ORDER #:	XXXX
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call of (Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closi On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with John in the a.m. started to argue with him. I had the same problem with John in the a.m. ticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to et tech said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have tim wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been in 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and s corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio 5pm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T	ATE ORDERED:	11/7/96
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call of Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closic On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with John in the anticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to extech said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have time wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been in 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and secorrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio 5pm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T	DUE DATE:	1/14/97
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE 1/13/97: a Brooks tech went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNUs get dial tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to (Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closic On same day, staff from Brooks Switch, Field Engineering & Dispatch Ameritech/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the trouble field tech. We did have a problem with John at AMI/NECC not listen Eng. staff were asking and he started arguing with them. He also told John was asked to continue working on the problem with John in the a.m. started to argue with him. I had the same problem with John in the a.m. ticket. I had relayed the info our tech gave me, exactly as it was given the trouble tickettrying to say I gave him the wrong info. I tried to extech said and he started arguing with me. I told him I did not have time wanted to talk to my supervisor I would get her. He just got quiet and continual problem with John and I believe that our problem has been to 1/14/97: there are two bad CFAs on order. A change was made and so corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.), Dennis (translatio 5pm. Having a problem with the two lines that have CFA changes. T	IN SERVICE:	1/14/97
Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closical tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closical continuity to D-m	AMI #:	XXXX
Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closical tone. Trouble referred to John at Ameritech/NECCwill call to Ameritech/NECC) cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closical continuity to D-m		
	n placed a conference can be ticket were still not test ing to what our Switch (our man in toll to hang toll tried to communicate in, when he issued the oral, and John wrote someth explain that I gave him explain that I ga	ting OK for out ("toll") & Field up even when the with John heriginal trouble thing different of exactly what out him and if he re has been at Ameritech. It is tarted at the cut didn't



ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX		ORDER #:	XXXX
		DATE ORDERED:	10/8/96
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX		DUE DATE:	
CITY / STATE:	MI	IN SERVICE:	11/26/96 (NOON)
TELE #:XXXX		AMI #: [XXXX
20:55.0	ESCRIPTION OF		XXXX
This customer has 38 lines to cutover to one The due date was originally scheduled for 1 FOC's on 11/20. On 11/20 the customer cal 11/21 confirmed that the new date was OK was notified of the cutover but per Jane at I On 11/25/96, Ameritech called to say they could slow up the cut a great dealthey can time to 7:30am. The cut started at 7:30am disconnect orders so that they could start th first 10 lines at the main address. At 12 not The customer was not a "happy camper" ab	1/25/96 to even ou lled and wanted the and an email was s Lucent they don't ne were concerned tha l't cut if the lines are but Ameritech had be porting. As of 10 on Joe at AMI called	t the cutover schedule. Ame cut to occur on 11/26 at 10 ent to AMI as written confir ed to be there. t if this business had a lot of the busy. Everyone agreed to a problem in their switch reloam, 11/26, the porting was ed to say that porting was confirmed to the cutous of the cutous and the cutous agreements.	eritech sent over am. Ameritech, on mation. The vendor incoming traffic it change the cutover easing the done except for the mplete.
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMEN	T: XXXXX	xxxxx	



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

ORDER	IDENTIFICA	TION	
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX		ORDER #:	XXXX
		DATE ORDERED:	10/23/96
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX		DUE DATE:	11/27/96
CITY / STATE:	MI	IN SERVICE:	12/3/96
TELE #:XXXX		AMI #:	XXXX

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM:

This is a Centrex order for 26 lines at (4) locations. Customer wants all to be cutover at the same time.

Ameritech sent FOCs for three locations on 11/1/96 and the other on 11/4/96. Sent porting info to Ameritech on 11/6. On 11/7 Ameritech called to say we didn't address one of the numbers when actually we did.

After a couple of changes in the due dates the cut was scheduled to occur on 11/27/96 at 9am. Ameritech was sent notification in early afternoon on 11/19. On 11/20 at 4pm Ramone from Ameritech called to say he'd completed the cut for one of the locations--this wasn't supposed to occur yet. Pat (Brooks) notified Neil (Ameritech) the day before about the change in due date. The customer called on 11/21 saying they were quite upset about the business they are losing due to no service. Brooks dispatch notified Ameritech of situation and Ameritech reversed work so customers lines were operational again.

On 11/26 1pm the order was verified with Ameritech as to the next day's scheduled cut. On 11/27, the day of the cut, no dial tone was found at the d-mark--we checked the card. Ramone at Ameritech didn't work disconnect at 9am as scheduled, however C.O. worked their portion. At 11:40 Ramone was having difficulty completing translations due to traffic on lines. One of the other locations was cutting from ISLIC and wasn't complete yet.

On 12/3 all lines were working except for one in Holland. Brooks corrected the CFA problem and at 2:15pm lines were tested OK.



ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	xxxx	
COSTOWER (last, filst). AAAA	1		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DATE ORDERED:		
	DUE DATE:		
CITY / STATE: MI	I IN SERVICE:		
TELE #: XXXX	AMI #:	XXXX	
Ameritech started to cut this customer at 3:30pm instead of a could not make any outgoing calls for about an hour until evolverall, the cut did go OK but the customer is left with the incoordinated properly.	the 5pm scheduled time. End reryone figured out what was a	going on.	
DEDODTED'S NAME (DEDADTMENT, WYYYY	vvvv		



ORDE	ER IDENTIFICA	ATION		
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX		ORDER #:	XXXX	
		DATE ORDERED:		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX		DUE DATE:	12/11/96	
CITY / STATE:	MI	IN SERVICE:	12/12/96	
TELE #:XXXX		AMI #:	XXXX	
PRIEE DES	CRIPTION OF			
This cut was due yesterday at 8am but because of multiple problems was still not done at 8pm last night. First of all, at least half of the lines were on ISLIC and we needed an Ameritech tech to change them before we could cut. This held up the cut until approximately 10am. Then the customer couldn't dial long distance because the sales person had their carrier as LDMI instead of LCI. That was an easy fix. Then some the lines kept locking up when we dialed out. From Troy in the NOCC we discovered that the lines were going into overcurrent protection. I contacted unbundling and from my experience with Ameritech I was able to determine, by having Neal read the Ameritech assignment to me, that most of the lines that were in trouble were assigned incorrectly on GST cards. I had Neal re-dispatch the tech to change the cards and, to make a long story short, he just pulled the cards out and put them back in which reset the lines. When we dialed out they again went into overcurrent protection. Ameritech did finally dispatch a tech to change the cards in the C.O. around 10:30pm last night and we are testing the lines now.				
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT:	77777777	lxxxx		



AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

OPPER IDENTIFICATION				
ORDER IDENTIFICATION				
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX		ORDER #:	XXXX	
		DATE ORDERED:	12/19/96	
STREET ADDRESS:XXXX		DUE DATE:	1/21/97	
CITY / STATE: GRAND RA	APIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	1/23/97	
TELE #:XXXX		AMI #: [XXXX	
PDIEC DI	SCRIPTION OF	-	XXX	
Customer wanted (3) new loops before the cresecheduled, the third line needed facilities On 1/22 around 8:45am I got a call from Sal Ameritech cut the customer a day ahead of I I checked with John/NECC [Ameritech] and disconnect order which she put in jeopardy, referred it to Jerry Hiley [Ameritech]. Lauri service by 10:15am on 1/23/97.	put on hold until I es and Sales Supports brooks and were average the referred me to to to the second of the total and the C.O. wor	/22. ort saying the customer was ware that Brooks' cut was to Laura. Laura checked and nat status and worked by the	out of service. happen 1/23. found that the	

REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX

XXXXX



ORDER IDENTIFICATION				
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX		
	DATE ORDERED:	11/4/96		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	1/27/97		
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	1/27/97		
TELE #: XXXX	AMI #:	XXXX		
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF				
This cut-over was scheduled for 7am and never completed unti	ll 4pm bad loops and porting	issues:		
This cut-over was scheduled for 7am and never completed until 4pm bad loops and porting issues: Lines 493-1007 and 493-1004 were incorrectly ported. Brooks 493-1001 was not ported and had no dial tone until 3:30pm. Brooks 493-1957 was humming on new loop and had no dial tone until 3:30pm. Brooks 493-1003 was dead/no battery and had no dial tone until 3:30pm. Lines 493-1001, 1003, 1005 cut from ISLC to bad copper pairs. Not corrected until 3:30pm.				
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	xxxxx			



ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX	
, , , ,	DATE ORDERED:	4/17/97	
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	5/5/97	
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	5/5/97	
TELE #:XXXX	AMI#:	XXXX	
This customer cut at 5:30pm. The NECC department at Brook wait for the CO (Ameritech) to do their work, which was light so the cut took 1.5 hours to do 3-lines.	s had their part of the work done	e. We had to	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	lxxxxx		



AMERITECH INCIDEN	AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT			
ORDER IDENTIFICA	ATION			
CHOTOMED (14 64) YYYYY	05555 # F	3/3/3/2		
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX		
	DATE ORDERED:	3/31/97		
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	4/23/97		
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	4/23/97		
TELE #:XXXX	AMI #: [XXXX		
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	PROBLEM:			
We went to customer premises the day before the cut and deter (lines) were terminating at the 6th floor d-mark. The next day, at their 6th floor d-mark as previously determined. Brooks tee question were, instead, terminating at the d-mark in the baseme and without notifying Brooks. Consequently, the cut began at 8am and the pairs were not consequently.	two of this customer's lines we had some testing and found entAmeritech had changed t	vere not appearing that the two in		

REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX



ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first):	xxxx	ORDER #:	xxxx
		DATE ORDERED:	4/14/97
STREET ADDRESS:	XXXX	DUE DATE:	4/23/97
CITY / STATE:	JENISON MI	IN SERVICE:	4/23/97
TELE #:	XXXX	AMI#:	XXXX
	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF	PROBLEM:	
Ameritech ported the telephone starting with 222 instead of 224.		s passed with Brooks telepho	one numbers
		·	
Cut began at 7amporting was c	orrected at approximately 8:43	oam.	
REPORTER'S NAME / DEP	ARTMENT: XXXXX	XXXXX	



ORDER IDENTIFICATION			
CUSTOMER (last, first): XXXX	ORDER #:	XXXX	
<u> </u>	DATE ORDERED:	1/20/97	
STREET ADDRESS: XXXX	DUE DATE:	2/12/97	
CITY / STATE: GRAND RAPIDS MI	IN SERVICE:	2/12/97	
TELE #: XXXX] AMI#:[XXXX	
2/7/97: Customer called to say they were out of service. Ame date. Ameritech reversed. Due date post-poned until 2/12. 2/12/97: Cut started at 8amtook 3-hrs to port 6 standard line Ameritech had a translations problem.	eritech had disconnected befo		
REPORTER'S NAME / DEPARTMENT: XXXXX	XXXXX		



RBOC INCIDENT REPORT

Order I	dentification		RBOC;	
Custom	er Name:		Order#	970418076
Street A	Address:		Date of Order:	5-8-97
City:		Grand Rapids	Due (Cut) Date:	5-8-97
State:		MI	In Service	5-8-97
			Date:	

Brief Description of Problem

Cut started at 7:30AM and Ameritech said the cut was completed at 8:00 AM. There were 5 lines involved, all with porting. We quickly tested and found out that the porting was not working. Ameritech was notified and they could not fix this issue until 9:00 AM.

Reporteris Name Reporting Depta

Revised 5/8/97 Incident Regulatory Affairs



RBOC INCIDENT REPORT

Order Identification		RBOC:	
Customer Name:		Order#	970414007
Street Address:		Date of Order:	5-5-97
City:	Grand Rapids	Due (Cut) Date:	5-5-97
State	MI	In Service Date:	5-6-97

Brief Description of Problem

This cut started 5-5-97 at 8:30AM. We needed to change 6 lines to ground start. AMI Started by saying they had to change the facilities from SLC to copper. Then they changed their mind and said they could set up the SLC to do this. By 9:30AM they said they had to get the proper information to the central office, so they could program the SLC. They were unsure how long it would take, so I let the site. At 3:30PM I was notified this had to go on copper and it would have to wait until the next day, first dispatch.

5-6-97: This order did not get completed until 10:50AM. AMI did not start this until the 9:30 AM time range.

Revised 5/6/97 Incident Regulator



RBOC INCIDENT REPORT

Order Identification		RBOC:	
Customer Name:		Order #	970513090
Street Address:		Date of Order:	5/23/97
City: Zeel	and	Due (Cut) Date:	5/23/97
State: MI		In Service Date:	5/23/97

) Date:	
Brief Description of Problem		
		geweiting i den diede
Lines 2 and 3 were wired to the wrong ca not connected until noon.	ble pair. Cut time 7:30 AM - wirin	g was
•		
	-	
Reporter's Name:	Reporting Dept.:	

Revised 6/4/97

INCIDEN2.DOC

Regulatory Affairs

Group: 5